Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Gurmej Lal,

1331-5, St. No. 5, Near Vishwakarma Bhawan, Down Canal Road, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o EO, Nagar Council, Rajpura, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o EO, Nagar Council, Rajpura, District Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5073 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Gurmej Lal (Appellant) (via Cisco Webex) (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **31.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding illegal buildings under the jurisdiction of Nagar Council Rajpura</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **31.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **9.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. The respondent PIO is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO is herewith directed to submit a written pointwise reply to this RTI application before the next hearing.

3. IMPORTANT! All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as under:

Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005 Signature of the PIO Name of the PIO Name of the Public Authority Date

4. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Mahinder Kumar Arora,

#12/3, Guru Nanak Nagar, Tripuri, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer,o/o Municipal Corporation,Patiala.First Appellate Authority,o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,PatialaAppeal Case No. 4787 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **21.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the action taken on complaints dated **23.3.2021**, **7.3.2021** and **13.5.2021** addressed to the Chief Minister, Chief Secretary, Secretary Local Bodies etc., as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. Both parties are absent without intimation. Perusal of the case file shows that the respondent PIO has not provided any reply/information to this RTI application Dated 21.5.2021. This is despite the Order of the FAA to provide the requested information, vide his Letter of 14.7.2021. The Commission directs the respondent PIO to submit a written, pointwise reply along with the requested information with a copy to this Commission before the next hearing.

3. IMPORTANT! All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as under:

Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005 Signature of the PIO Name of the PIO Name of the Public Authority Date

4. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Naveen Kumar,

12/3, Guru Nanak Nagar, Tripuri, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer,o/o Municipal Corporation,Patiala.First Appellate Authority,o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,Patiala.Appeal Case No. 5100 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **25.11.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the PVR Mall on Rajpura Road in Ten points**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **3.5.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **9.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. Both parties are absent. A perusal of the case file Shows that the respondent PIO has not provided any reply/information to this RTI application Dated 25.11.2021. This is despite the FAA's Order vide his Letter No. 90/FAA Dated 21.3.2021.

3. The respondent PIO is herewith directed to submit a written pointwise reply to this RTI application along with requested information before the next date of hearing.

4. IMPORTANT! All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as under:

Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005 Signature of the PIO Name of the PIO Name of the Public Authority Date

5. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Rajinder Kumar Sharma, VPO Raisar, Tehsil & District Barnala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Divisional Forest Officer, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Conservator of Forests, South Circle, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5180 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Rajinder Kumar Sharma (Appellant) (via Cisco Webex) 94635-12563 Malkit Singh, Block Officer (for the Respondent) 94177-43948

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **17.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding works in Dhakarba and Gurdialpura blocks of the Samana Range**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **27.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **16.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. As per the respondent PIO, represented by Malkit Singh, Block Officer, as many as five letters were written to the appellant inviting him to inspect the record. This includes Letter No. 13 Dated 26.5.2021, whereby the appellant was also informed about the copying cost of Rs. 2769.00. The appellant has however, neither deposited the amount nor did he visit the office for inspection.

3. In view of the above, the respondent PIO is herewith directed to arrange for an inspection of the concerned record by the appellant at 11.00 am on 4.5.2022. Duly attested copies of the documents requested by him are to be provided after he deposits the requisite photocopying costs.

4. IMPORTANT! All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as under:

Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005 Signature of the PIO Name of the PIO Name of the Public Authority Date

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 5180 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

5. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



REGISTERED SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Jasbir Singh,

S/o Harbans Singh, Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Station House Officer, Police Station Pasiana, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5024 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Jasbir Singh (Appellant) 98153-97246 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62339-82833

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **30.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding complaint to SSP Patiala on 7.8.2020**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **1.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. This RTI application was submitted to the SHO Police Station Pasiana, however, no body is present on behalf of the SHO-cum-PIO. The appellant states that he has received no reply of this RTI application submitted on 30.7.2021.

3. In view of this Commission deems it fit to issue this Show Cause Notice to the SHO-cum-PIO, Police Station Pasiana.

4. The respondent <u>PIO-cum-Station House Officer, Pasiana, Ankur Deep Singh</u>, is herewith ordered to **SHOW CAUSE** as to why a penalty should not be imposed upon him under Section 20 (1) of RTI Act, 2005, for causing willful delay/denial of the information requested by the appellant as far back as on **30.7.2021**.

"In addition to the written reply, the respondent PIO is also given an opportunity under Section 20 (1) provision there to, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him *ex parte.*" Copies of this order be sent to the parties through registered post.

Contd....2

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 5024 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

5. IMPORTANT! All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as under:

Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005 Signature of the PIO Name of the PIO Name of the Public Authority Date

6. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office.</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 28.4.2022

Cc: Senior Superintended of Police, Patiala, for information and further necessary action.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Daizy Mohan,

S/o Sh. Hukam Chand, 14248, St. No.2 A, Ganesh Nagar, Bathinda.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4483 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62339-82833

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **12.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding complaints filed against Excise Department employees in Seven points**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **1.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As per his reply to the Notice of Hearing, the respondent PIO, vide Letter No. 958 Dated 23.4.2022, states that the requested information was denied under Section 8(1)(h), in a reply to the appellant vide Letter No. 1394 Dated 24.7.2021, since the matter cited in the RTI application is still under investigation. The respondent PIO is herewith directed to determine the present status of the requested information and submit a written reply with a copy to this Commission.

3. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Amandeep Singh,

S/o Gurwinder Singh, Village Todarwal, PO Babarpur, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer,

o/o Station House Officer, Police Station Bhadson, Patiala. **Public Information officer,** o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5195 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Raj Singh (for the Appellant) 91158-25305 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62339-82833

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **19.8.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding FIR No. 76/2021 at Police Station Bhadson Nabha</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **16.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. The appellant is represented by Raj Singh who has submitted an authority letter from the appellant. As per a reply received from the respondent PIO vide Letter No. 300 Dated 14.2.2022, the appellant was informed on 11.10.2021 that the FIR cited in the RTI application is still under investigation and so the information requested was denied as per Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. The First Appellate Authority also upheld the PIO's decision vide his Letter Dated 18.12.2021.

3. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, states that as per a report received from the Police Station Bhadson, where the FIR was registered, the matter is still under investigation. The appellant however contends that the investigation is complete as per the information he has received in person from Police Station Bhadson.

Contd....2

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 5195 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

4. This Commission impleads the SHO-cum-PIO Police Station Bhadson as the deemed PIO in this Appeal Case and directs him to file a duly notarized affidavit regarding the status of FIR No. 76/2004 and the information sought in this RTI application.

5. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Sukhdev Singh,

S/o Madan Singh, VPO Thuhi, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer,
o/o Station House Officer,
Police Station Sadar Nabha,
Patiala.
Public Information officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Inspector General of Police,
Patiala Range, Patiala

Appeal Case No. 4800 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Sukhdev Singh (Appellant) 98144-41338 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62339-82833

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **17.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the constitution of an SIT in response to his application dated 8.6.2007**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. The appellant is represented by his counsel, Sh. Sudhir Sharma. As per the respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hakam Singh, the requested record is part of that which was destroyed (2007 to 2014) as per State Government rules.

3. The requested information relates to the unexplained disappearance of the appellant's family members in 2007. The SHO Police Station Sadar Nabha is hereby impleaded as the deemed PIO in this Appeal Case and directed to submit a notarized affidavit giving a pointwise reply to this RTI application.

4. IMPORTANT! All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as under:

Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005 Signature of the PIO Name of the PIO Name of the Public Authority Date

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Appeal Case No. 4800 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

5. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Sukhdev Singh,

S/o Madan Singh, VPO Thuhi, Tehsil Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer,
o/o Station House Officer,
Police Station Sadar, Nabha,
District Patiala.
Public Information officer,
o/o Station House Officer,
Police Station Kotwali, Nabha,
District Patiala.
Public Information officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Patiala.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Inspector General of Police,
Patiala Range, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 4801 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Sukhdev Singh (Appellant) 98144-41338 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62339-82833

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **4.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding missing persons from **1.1.2007** to **31.5.2021** reported to Police Stations Kotwali and Sadar, Nabha, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. The appellant is represented by his counsel, Sh. Sudhir Sharma. He states that the present RTI application was filed in the larger public interest to establish that the police seldom takes any action in cases of missing persons. The SHO Police Station Sadar, Nabha and SHO Police Station Kotwali, Nabha are hereby impleaded as deemed PIOs in this Appeal Case and directed to submit separate notarized affidavits by way of pointwise replies pertaining to their respective police stations as sought in this RTI application.

3. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Lal Singh,

S/o Dalip Singh, Village Bathoi Kalan, PO Dakala, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Patiala Range, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 5033 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62339-82833

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **9.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding his application dated 15.4.2021 in Four points**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **10.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **2.11.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **28.4.2022**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. As per the respondent PIO, a reply vide Letter No. AC-119-1123-25/RTI Dated 22.9.2020, along with None pages of information was delivered to the appellant via the SHO Police Station Pasiana. Said reply and information was personally received by the appellant and a copy of the appellant's receiving has also submitted.

3. However, in view the appellant's absence at this hearing, he is granted one final opportunity to be heard in person.

4. <u>Next hearing on 12.8.2022 at 11.00 am via Video Conference Facility at DC Office,</u> <u>Patiala</u>.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.